

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS OF VIRGINIA.

Lynchburg Cotton Mills v. Travelers' Ins. Co.

In the case of the Lynchburg Cotton Mill v. Travelers' Ins. Co., reported in the May number of the Virginia Law Register, p. 18, a petition for rehearing is pending, based on the ground that the issue was necessarily the truth, not the sufficiency in law, or the defendant's rejoinder.

Fraternities Accident Order v. Armstrong.

March 14, 1907.

[56 S. E. 565.]

Insurance—Mutual Benefit Insurance—Statutory Provisions.—Code 1904, § 3252, provides that in an action on an insurance policy no failure to perform any condition of the policy, nor violation of any restrictive provision thereof, shall be a valid defense, unless such condition or provision is printed in type as large as or larger than that commonly known as "long primer" type, or is written with pen and ink in or on the policy. Held, that the statute is not applicable to conditions in the by-laws of a mutual benefit society, which are by the terms of the certificate made a part of the contract.

Error to Law and Chancery Court of City of Norfolk.

Action by Sarah Armstrong against the Fraternities Accident Order. Judgment in favor of plaintiff, and defendant brings error. Reversed, and remanded for a new trial.

Braxton & Williams, for plaintiff in error. Wolcott & Wolcott, for defendant in error.

BUCHANAN, J. Sarah Armstrong instituted her action of assumpsit against Fraternities Accident Order, a corporation chartered under the laws of the state of Pennsylvania, to recover a sum not exceeding \$5,000, which she claimed was due her on a certificate of membership issued to her husband, John D. Armstrong, deceased, as a member of the defendant order, for her benefit.

The certificate of membership, so far as it is material to the questions involved in this writ of error, is as follows: "This certificate of membership in the Fraternities Accident Order is issued to John D. Armstrong, of Norfolk, Va., upon condition that the statements and representations made by him in the ap-